

Affiliation	Position	Comment	Date Rcvd	Supporting Documents
Water Boards	Senior Environmental Scientist, Supervisor	<p data-bbox="551 191 1623 331">Thank you for allowing us the opportunity to review and provide feedback on RMAC’s annual priorities and objectives. We reviewed the document attached to your email dated July 27, and below are our comments and suggestions. Please feel free to reach out with any questions.</p> <p data-bbox="551 380 1596 441">OBJECTIVE: Promote appropriate grazing for fuels management and multiple ecosystem services.</p> <p data-bbox="551 456 1623 518">Action Item 1: We request that the template/form developed for prescribed grazing meet Water Board requirements.</p> <p data-bbox="551 532 1575 594">Action Item 2: We request that grazing plans follow the Ranch Water Quality Planning Curriculum presented by UCCE, UC Rangelands.</p> <p data-bbox="551 643 1636 704">OBJECTIVE: Establish appropriate guidance for grazing following wildfire on California state-owned lands</p> <p data-bbox="551 719 1642 823">Action Item 1: We request that the authoritative peer-reviewed publication be expanded to include what is known about requirements for post-burn “rest” from defoliation and the effects to water quality, especially preventing sediment movement to water bodies.</p> <p data-bbox="551 872 1602 933">OBJECTIVE: Develop educational opportunities and outreach RE: fuel reduction methods</p> <p data-bbox="551 915 1615 977">Action item 1: We request that annual educational workshop series include considerations for water quality protection.</p> <p data-bbox="551 1026 1561 1088">OBJECTIVE: Continue to monitor regulatory initiatives on surface water pollution and ground water management</p> <p data-bbox="551 1102 1548 1128">Action item 1: We support the formation of a standing water quality subcommittee.</p> <p data-bbox="551 1143 1623 1205">Action item 2: We recommend an annual report template to facilitate the communication between State and regional Water Quality Control Boards.</p>	8/8/2022	None
California Natural Resources Agency	Environmental Scientist	<p data-bbox="551 1256 1623 1432">Thanks for giving us the chance to review the RMAC’s annual priorities. These look great and I noted several places where there seemed to be consistency and synergies with the Natural and Working Lands Climate Smart Strategy, the 2021 CA Climate Adaptation Strategy, and the 5th CA Climate Change Assessment. Just a note that these are comments from the climate team at CNRA and do not reflect Jessica or Jen’s edits or comments.</p>	8/4/2022	2. Annual Priorities Comments from CNRA 2022-08-04.docx

CA RCD of Greater San Diego	Agricultural Specialist	Based on our conversation at the RMAC meeting about SLGLLM, I think the templates will be most useful if local land managers and producers already have the existing information at their fingertips - we should cooperate with state agencies and major public landowners to facilitate an inventory of existing land management plans to assess which elements required for the grazing plan are already available. I would also add assessing the current statewide capacity compared to the need for grazing to address wildfire risk. The goal could be to get grant funding for a university lab to map and quantify this need.	8/5/2022	None
-----------------------------------	-------------------------	---	----------	------