	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J
								I would support		
		Conflict of	Critical	Scientific	Geographic	Collaboration		funding this proposal	lf Other,	Explain
1	Full Proposal ID	Interest?	Question(s)	Uncertainty	Application	& Feasibility	Comments	at the following level:	amount	amount
	EMC-2024-001	No	2	4	2	4	NA	l do not support		
2								funding this proposal		
3	EMC-2024-001	Yes: Recused								
	EMC-2024-001	No	5	4	4	5	While I am interested in	Option 3: \$315,389		
							potentially supporting the			
							full project, I look forward to			
							a discussion on the value of			
							studying both vs availability			
							of EMC funding. I'm not clear			
							what impact would the			
							results of this study would			
4							have on the FPRs.			
	EMC-2024-001	No	4	4	4	5	Collects relevant data for	l do not support		
							future fire behavior	funding this proposal		
							modeling, but can't actually			
							answer questions about fire			
							behavior/fire risk effects			
5	540 0004 004						within the current project.			
6	EMC-2024-001	No Maria Da successi	4	5	3	4	NA	Option 3: \$315,389		
7	EMC-2024-001	Yes: Recused No				 	NA	Ontion 2: \$215,200		
8	EMC-2024-001 EMC-2024-001	NO	5			_	Due to budget concerns,	Option 3: \$315,389 Option 2: \$262,784		
	EMC-2024-001	INU	5	5	4	5	_	Ομισίι 2. φ262,784		
							funding only option 1 is			
							acceptable. If portions of option 3 could be			
							incorporated in option 2 at a			
							lower cost, i could support			
9										
	EMC-2024-001	No	3	л	3	<u> </u>	it. NA	Option 1: \$74,862		
10	1-110-2024-001	INU	ა	4	3	ງ ວ		000000000000000000000000000000000000000		

	А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н		J
		Conflict of	Critical	Scientific		Collaboration		l would support funding this proposal		-
	Full Proposal ID	Interest?	Question(s)	Uncertainty	Application		Comments	at the following level:	amount	amount
	EMC-2024-001	No	4	3	2		NA	Option 1: \$74,862		
13	EMC-2024-001	No	3	3	3	3	No comments	Option 1: \$74,862		
14	EMC-2024-001	No	4	5	4	5	No comment.	Option 3: \$315,389		
15	EMC-2024-001	No	3	3	2	3	NA	l do not support funding this proposal		
16	EMC-2024-002	No	4	3	4	4	NA	Option 1: \$358,978		
17	EMC-2024-002	No	3	3	3	4	Concerns: overall cost of project, applicability over geographic area, feasibility	l do not support funding this proposal		
17							and timeline.			
18	EMC-2024-002	No	4	3	4	5	How novel is this research? Impacts of results in FPRs is unclear to me. I would be open to supporting this research after a discussion with the cmte.	Option 1: \$358,978		
	EMC-2024-002	No	4	5	3	5	NA	l do not support		
19								funding this proposal		
20	EMC-2024-002	No	4	4	3	3	NA	Option 2: \$410,440		
21	EMC-2024-002	No	3	3	3	4	NA	l do not support funding this proposal		
22	EMC-2024-002	No	4	4	3	5	NA	Option 1: \$358,978		

	А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J
								I would support		
		Conflict of	Critical	Scientific	Geographic	Collaboration		funding this proposal	lf Other,	Explain
23	Full Proposal ID	Interest?	Question(s)	Uncertainty	Application	& Feasibility	Comments	at the following level:	amount	amount
	EMC-2024-002	No	4	3	4	3	Do to budget concerns I do	l do not support		
							not support this proposal.	funding this proposal		
							This proposal is expensive			
							and will primarily provide			
							base level information to be			
							used in a larger context			
							rather than addressing than			
							directly addressing the			
24							FPRs.			
25	EMC-2024-002	No	4	4	4	4	NA	Option 1: \$358,978		
26	EMC-2024-002	No	3	4	2	3	NA	Option 1: \$358,978		
27	EMC-2024-002	No	4	4	4	4	No comment	Option 2: \$410,440		
	EMC-2024-002	No	3	3	3	4	No comment.	l do not support		
28								funding this proposal		
	EMC-2024-002	No	3	3	4	3	Seems like this project may	l do not support		
							be better funded by other	funding this proposal		
29							sources.			
	EMC-2024-004	No	2	3	2	3	Seems like the project has	l do not support		
							limited scope for the funds	funding this proposal		
30							requested.			
	EMC-2024-004	Yes: Recused								
~										
31										

	А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J
								I would support		
		Conflict of	Critical	Scientific	Geographic	Collaboration		funding this proposal	lf Other,	Explain
32	Full Proposal ID	Interest?	Question(s)	Uncertainty	Application	& Feasibility	Comments	at the following level:	amount	amount
	EMC-2024-004	No	3	3	4	5	This appears more like a	\$351,701.63		
							testing of the wildlife			
							monitoring protocol than a			
							test of the FPRs, what would			
							the results of this study have			
							on the FPRs? I would be			
							open to supporting this			
							project, if it could be shown			
							how it will directly impact			
33							the FPRs.			
	EMC-2024-004	No	5	3	4	5	Definitely could lower costs	l do not support		
							of monitoring to meet FPRs,	funding this proposal		
							but scientific uncertainty			
							surrounding critical			
							monitoring questions is			
34							relatively small.			
	EMC-2024-004	No	5	4	3	4	NA	Other Amount (you will	687408	reading of
								be able to enter an		the proposal
								amount in the next		provided this
								section)		\$ amount;
										the form \$
1										appears to
35										be different
36	EMC-2024-004	Yes: Recused								
	EMC-2024-004	No	5	5	3	5	NA	\$351,701.63		
1										
37										

	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J
38	Full Proposal ID	Conflict of Interest?	Critical Question(s)	Scientific Uncertainty			Comments	l would support funding this proposal at the following level:		Explain amount
39	EMC-2024-004	No	4	4	5	5	This proposal has merit to provide more efficient and robust monitoring information, shows good collaboration, and a significant cost matching component. However, since there is already an existing protocol, and since our budget is tight I have concerns about funding it.	\$351,701.63		
40	EMC-2024-004	No	3	4	4	5	NA	\$351,701.63		
41	EMC-2024-004	No	4	4	3	4	NA	\$351,701.63		
42	EMC-2024-004	No	4	3	2	3	No comment	\$351,701.63		
43	EMC-2024-004	No	4	5	4	5	No comment.	\$351,701.63		
44	EMC-2024-004	No	4	3	3		I think the furthering of the use of AMUs is very valuable to the regulated public.	,	this years funds for the 1st year,	I am hoping they can backload their expenses to enable the funding of two projects.
45	EMC-2024-006	No	4	4	4	4	NA	\$321,921.68		
46	EMC-2024-006	No	4	3		3	Concerns: applicability to private timberlands, and geographic scope.	I do not support funding this proposal		

	А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J
								I would support		
		Conflict of	Critical	Scientific	Geographic	Collaboration		funding this proposal	-	Explain
47	Full Proposal ID	Interest?	Question(s)	Uncertainty	Application	& Feasibility	Comments	at the following level:	amount	amount
	EMC-2024-006	No	4	5	5	5	I would be open to	\$321,921.68		
							supporting this project after			
							a discussion with the cmte.			
							It is unclear to me how the			
							authors expect the results to			
48							impact the FPRs.			
	EMC-2024-006	No	5	5	5	5	Excellent geographic	\$321,921.68		
							application, very high			
							scientific uncertainty, and			
							extremely good value for the			
							price tag thanks to the great			
							leveraging of project			
							partners and availability of			
49							matching funds.			
50	EMC-2024-006	No	4	4			NA	\$321,921.68		
	EMC-2024-006	No	2	2	2	3	NA	l do not support		
51			_		_			funding this proposal		
52	EMC-2024-006	No	5				NA	\$321,921.68	-	
	EMC-2024-006	No	4	4	5	4	I have concerns that the	\$321,921.68		
							objectives will not be met in			
							the timeframe of the grant, 2			
							years for seedling evaluation			
							seems too short. Due to			
							budget concerns, and the			
							above i do not support			
53							funding this proposal.			
	EMC-2024-006	No	4	5			NA	\$321,921.68		
55	EMC-2024-006	No	4	4	5	5	NA	\$321,921.68		

	А	В	C	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J
								I would support		
		Conflict of	Critical	Scientific	Geographic	Collaboration		funding this proposal	lf Other,	Explain
56	Full Proposal ID	Interest?	Question(s)	Uncertainty	Application	& Feasibility	Comments	at the following level:	amount	amount
57	EMC-2024-006	No	4	3	4	4	No comment	\$321,921.68		
	EMC-2024-006	No	3	3	3	4	No comment.	l do not support		
58								funding this proposal		
	EMC-2024-006	No	4	3	5	4	NA	Other Amount	Half of	l am hoping
									this	they can
									year's	backload
									funds for	their
									1st year,	expenses to
									then fully	enable the
									funded.	funding of
59										two projects.