

Addressing Botanical Resources in the Forest Practice Rules

May 7, 2019

Presented by Randi Adair, Senior
Environmental Scientist Supervisor, CDFW
Timberland Conservation Program

On behalf of the CDFW Timber Botany
Working Group



Presentation Goals

- Why Are Updates Needed?
- Current Process
- Solutions
- Benefits
- Previous Board Questions
- Summary



Why Are Updates Needed?

- Transparency, efficiency, and effectiveness mandates of AB 1492:
 - Improved management and monitoring of special status plant populations
 - Reduce THP length and review timelines
- 20 years of botanical data available – use for programmatic evaluation
- Address botanical protections and monitoring for ministerial projects




Current Process

- No specific language for botany in FPRs
- Guidance documents from CDFW
 - 2005 botanical survey guidelines
- Memorandum from CAL FIRE
 - 2009 memorandum addressing “special-status plants” for THPs, did not include ministerial approvals.




Special Status Plants on Forested Lands

- 60% of populations found on private timberlands were found within a THP (red).
- What can we learn from these occurrences?
 - Extent and connectedness of populations, rarity of species
 - Change in populations over time
 - Sensitivity to disturbance
 - Habitat needs/communities
 - Priorities for management




Quality Affects Findings

Non-Protocol-Level Survey Plants Found:



Protocol-Level Survey Plants Found:



Taking a planned approach to assess data will better inform future management.

Solutions

- Review THP botanical data, and evaluate patterns and priorities.
- Clarify rules for future botanical assessments, including scoping, surveys, and reporting.
- Shift from “inventory and avoid” approach towards active, landscape-level management.
- Develop monitoring program to increase transparency and demonstrate effectiveness.



CDFW's Role

- Partner with Board and other stakeholders to develop management solutions
- Continue to invite collaboration with stakeholders (industry, public, etc.)
- Continue to encourage property-wide agreements
- Continue consultations/pre-consultations
- Increase outreach and trainings



Benefits

- Better operational certainty
- Increase efficiency for reviewing agencies
- Quality data to inform management decisions
- Assurance that CEQA and CESA requirements have been addressed
 - Benefits the resource
 - Benefits the timber plan proponents



Board Questions

- Are botanists available? **Yes**
 - Many universities in California have Bachelor Degrees in Botany or Plant Science programs (Humboldt, San Francisco, Cal Poly, Davis, etc.)
 - More importantly, students are graduating from those programs and looking for jobs. E.g. HSU had 238 Botany graduates in the last 10 years, 363 in Forestry.
- Are landscape level solutions available? **Yes**
 - Rules could be developed to achieve this and CDFW is available to consult on these now.



Summary

- Reviewing the past is needed to plan for the future.
- Updates are needed to increase efficiency while providing legal protections required under CEQA.
- Can provide landscape level solutions.



Questions?



Participants from CDFW's Timberland Conservation Program and Native Plant Program:

Bianca Hayashi, Bob Hawkins, Cherilyn Burton, Cristin Walters, Danielle Castle, Elliot Chasin, Janelle Deshais, Jeb Bjerke, Margarita Gordus, Merissa Hanisko, Randi Adair, Robin Fallscheer.

