Project Number 2021-001: Aquatic Toxicity and Cumulative Watershed Effects of Pesticide Discharge Related to Post-Fire Reforestation								
	Critical	Scientific		Geographic	Collaboration &	EMC Funding		Total
Vote ID	Question	Uncertainty	Sci Unc x 2	Application	Feasibility	Request	Comments	Score
1	4	5	10	4	4			22
2	3	4	8	5	4			20
3	3	5	10	5	5			23
4	3	5	10	4	4			21
5	4	4	8	4	3	\$186,510 over two years		19
6							abstain	NA
7	3	4	8	3	3			17
8	4	5	10	3	5			22
9	2	4	8	3	4	\$186,873	Neither study has a particularly strong linkage back to the FPRs	17
10	5	5	10	4	5	\$186,872	Need to clarify the spread of funding over the 3 year period by completing the table.	24
11	4	4	8	3	4		Great proposal. Some additional budget information is necessary. I think it will be important to characterize the watersheds well due to the cost that would be associated with a high number of sampling locations. I'm looking forward to the results	
Average:	3.5	4.5	9	3.8	4.1		Total score is the sum of all values, where "Scientific Uncertainty" is weighted by a factor of 2	20.4

Project Number 2021-003: Evaluating the response of native pollinators to fuel-reduction treatments in managed conifer forests								
	Critical	Scientific		Geographic	Collaboration &			
Vote ID	Question	Uncertainty	Sci Unc x 2	Application	Feasibility	EMC Funding Request	Comments	Total Score
1	3	5	10	5	5			23
2	5	5	10	4	5			24
3	3	5	10	4	4			21
4	4	5	10	4	5			23
5	4	4	8	3	4	yes	yes	19
6	5	4	8	3	5	\$448,510 over 3 years		21
7	4	5	10	4	5			23
8	5	4	8	4	5			22
9	5	5	10	4	5			24
10	3	5	10	4	5	\$448,510	Neither study has a particularly strong linkage back to the FPRs	22
11	4	4	8	4	5		Excellent proposal. The broad support was nice to see.	21
Average:	4.1	4.6	9.3	3.9	4.8		Total score is the sum of all values, where "Scientific Uncertainty" is weighted by a factor of 2:	22.1

PROJECTS	AVERAGES						
Project Title	Critical Question	Sci Unc x2	Geographic Application	Collaboration & Feasibility	Total Score		
Aquatic Toxicity and Cumulative Watershed Effects of Pesticide Discharge Related to Post- Fire Reforestation	3.5	9.0	3.8	4.1	20.4		
Evaluating the response of native pollinators to fuel-reduction treatments in managed conifer forests	4.1	9.3	3.9	4.8	22.1		
Average Score:	3.8	9.1	3.9	4.5	21.3		